In The Matter Of:

Project Veritas Acton Fund v. Daniel F. Conley, et al.

James O' Keefe April 9, 2018

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage court-reporting.com



Original File James E. O'Keefe 4-9-18.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	C.A. No. 1:16-cv-10462-PBS
5	
6	PROJECT VERITAS ACTION FUND,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs.
9	DANIEL F. CONLEY, in his
10	official capacity as Suffolk
11	County District Attorney,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	DEPOSITION OF JAMES E. O'KEEFE, III,
15	individually and as corporate designee of Project
16	Veritas Action Fund, a witness called on behalf of
17	the Defendant, taken pursuant to the applicable
18	provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
19	before Cynthia A. Powers, Professional Shorthand
20	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
21	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Office of the
22	Attorney General, One Ashburton Place, Boston,
23	Massachusetts, on Thursday, April 9, 2018,
24	commencing at 9:02 a.m.

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Stephen Klein, Esquire	
3	500 Madison Street, No. 419	
4	Alexandria, Virginia 22314	
5	(734) 233-1705	
6	Representing the Plaintiff	
7		
8	Eric A. Haskell, Esquire	
9	Matthew Landry, Esquire	
10	The Commonwealth of Massachusetts	
11	Office of the Attorney General	
12	One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor	
13	Boston, Massachusetts 02108	
14	(617) 963-2855	
15	Representing the Defendant	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

			3
1		INDEX	
2			Page
3	Examination by M	Mr. Haskell	5
4	Afternoon Session	on	
5			
6		EXHIBITS	
7	Number		Page
8	Exhibit 50	Transcript of the Videotaped	11
9	I	Deposition of James O'Keefe,	
10	1	III, 3/15/12	
11	Exhibit 51	Transcript titled "San Diego"	14
12	Exhibit 52 I	OVD titled "Early Films"	16
13	Exhibit 53	Screen Shot	18
14	Exhibit 54	Screen Shot	20
15	Exhibit 55	Screen Shot	43
16	Exhibit 56	Screen Shot	49
17	Exhibit 57	Screen Shot	52
18	Exhibit 58	Screen Shot	53
19	Exhibit 59	Screen Shot	55
20	Exhibit 60	Screen Shot	56
21	Exhibit 61	Screen Shot	59
22	Exhibit 62	Screen Shot	60
23	Exhibit 63	Screen Shot	63
24	Exhibit 64	Screen Shot	65

				4
1			E X H I B I T S (Cont.)	
2	Number			Page
3	Exhibit	65	Screen Shot	71
4	Exhibit	66	Screen Shot	74
5	Exhibit	67	Screen Shot	75
6	Exhibit	68	Screen Shot	80
7	Exhibit	69	Screen Shot	80
8	Exhibit	70	Screen Shot	80
9	Exhibit	71	Screen Shot	81
10	Exhibit	72	Letter, Jordan to O'Keefe	92
11	Exhibit	73	Letter, Jordan to Project	93
12			Veritas Action Fund	
13	Exhibit	74	Federal Election Commission	95
14			Documents	
15	Exhibit	75	Complaint	123
16	Exhibit	76	Excerpts from "American Pravda"	124
17				
18		(Exhil	oits retained by Mr. Haskell)	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

	5
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JAMES E. O'KEEFE, III,
3	
4	having been satisfactorily identified
5	and duly sworn by the Notary Public,
6	was examined and testified as follows:
7	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. HASKELL:
10	Q. Mr. O'Keefe, good morning.
11	A. Good morning.
12	Q. Thanks for coming up here today. We met
13	in the hallway a moment ago. My name is Eric
14	Haskell. I'm assistant attorney general. I
15	represent the Defendant in this case, so in effect
16	the state of Massachusetts, and I'm going to ask you
17	some questions today.
18	A. Sounds good.
19	Q. Can I ask you to just state your name
20	for the record?
21	A. James O'Keefe.
22	Q. Is that your full name?
23	A. James Edward O'Keefe, III, is my full
24	name.

		24
1	Q.	*So, that portion of in the transcript
2	you testifi	ed about earlier where Ms. Giles asked
3	Mr. Vera if	he was recording the conversation, that
4	doesn't app	ear here in the published film, does it?
5	А.	Repeat your question.
6		(Record read)
7	А.	I don't believe it does.
8	Q.	Okay. We will shut down the ACORN film
9	on the scree	en here. Where is your office,
10	Mr. O'Keefe	?
11	A.	It's in Mamaroneck, New York.
12	Q.	What's the address?
13	A.	135 Hoyt Avenue.
14	Q.	What's the difference between well,
15	excuse me.	Are Project Veritas's offices located at
16	135 Hoyt Ave	enue?
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	Are PVA's office located at 135 Hoyt
19	Avenue?	
20	A.	Correct.
21	Q.	What's the relationship between the Hoyt
22	Avenue offic	ce and the office on, I think it was West
23	Boston Post	Road?
24	A.	The Boston Post Road address is our P.O.

	25
1	Box.
2	Q. I see. So, the physical office is at
3	135 Hoyt Avenue?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Does Project Veritas have any other
6	physical offices other than Hoyt Avenue?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Does Project Veritas Action have any
9	other physical offices?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Do you regularly come to Massachusetts
12	to do business in person?
13	A. Define regular.
14	Q. How often do you come to Massachusetts
15	to do business in person?
16	A. About twice a year maybe.
17	Q. What kind of things were you up here?
18	A. I would have to think. I would have to
19	recollect recent years. Fundraising activities,
20	events in New Hampshire, investigative work in
21	New Hampshire, at the very least.
22	Q. Anything else?
23	A. Yes, but I don't remember every activity
24	beyond that.

	1 ,
	27
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And then I understand in 2014 Project
3	Veritas Action can we call Project Veritas Action
4	PVA?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In 2014 I understand PVA was created?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did you play a role in creating PVA?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What role was that?
11	A. I should say oversight. I authorized
12	Russ Verney, my executive director, to carry out
13	most of the responsibilities in its creation.
14	Q. Since PVA was created in 2014, have you
15	had a role with that entity as well?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What's your title at PVA?
18	A. Well, I'm the chairman of the board.
19	Q. Any other titles?
20	A. I have to check my board minutes just to
21	make sure I'm completely accurate here, but
22	president, CEO.
23	Q. What are your responsibilities as board
24	chairman, president, and CEO of Project Veritas

28 1 Action? Four primarily responsibilities. 2 Α. 3 Typically those include fundraising, external -external, like, speeches; media appearances --4 fundraising, external -- three, undercover 5 6 journalism and production. That's three. 7 fourth, let's see, fundraising, external, UCJ, 8 undercover journalism and production oversight. 9 There's one more. It's escaping me, but it will come to mind in a minute, I guess. 10 11 Q. Let's talk through those. Starting with 12 undercover journalism, what work do you do with respect to PVA's undercover journalism activities? 13 14 I would say I'm a creative director, and Α. 15 I provide oversight and direction for the various 16 projects that we do. Do you work with Mr. Halderman --17 Ο. 18 Α. Yes. 19 -- in that respect? 0. 20 Α. Yes. In your own words, what is 21 Q. 22 Mr. Halderman's role with respect to the UCJ work that PVA does? 23 He's an executive producer. 24 A. He's

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - mail@court-reporting.com

James O' Keefe - April 9, 2018

responsible for carrying out projects, oversight over certain projects, assembling the footage into what we call a storyboard so that it's consumable to the audiences that we produce the stories to.

- Q. Do you exercise the same level of detailed oversight over the undercover journalism operations as Mr. Halderman?
- A. Sometimes I do. Sometimes I authorize him completely to be responsible for the majority of the work. In some cases I get more involved depending on what the video is.
- Q. With respect to, for instance, are you familiar with the investigation that led to a video report on Nicholas Dudich?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Is that one where you were involved in the day-to-day details or where you more left it to Mr. Halderman?
- A. It's not black or white. It's not yes or no. It's a percentage degree involved in some of those things. Usually I'm involved at least a little bit, but in some cases he's responsible for the majority or the vast majority of oversight of the project.

	30
1	Q. How would you characterize the level of
2	Mr. Halderman's level of oversight in the Dudich
3	project?
4	A. He was very involved.
5	Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Halderman was
6	the day-to-day detail guy on the Dudich project?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What's your title at Project Veritas?
9	A. President and CEO.
10	Q. Are your responsibilities at Project
11	Veritas any different from your responsibilities at
12	PVA?
13	A. Same scope of responsibilities. The PVA
14	work is mostly centered around election related
15	investigative work whereas Project Veritas's work
16	usually focuses on nonelection subjects of
17	investigation.
18	Q. Notwithstanding the difference in
19	subjects of investigation, how would you compare
20	Project Veritas's methods and techniques to those of
21	PVA?
22	A. They're similar.
23	Q. Are they different in any way?
24	A. Not if you not notwithstanding the

31 1 subjects because PVA focuses mostly on campaigns, politicians, whereas Project Veritas focuses on 2 broader educational issues. 3 But the methods and techniques that each 4 organizations uses is the same, just different 5 6 subject matter? 7 Α. Correct. How many hours a week would you say you 8 Ο. spend doing work wearing your Project Veritas hat? 9 It's never -- there's never a typical 10 Α. week or a consistent number. 11 12 Q. Let's look at it more broadly. Say over the course of the year, what portion of your time is 13 14 spent on Project Veritas work versus spent on PVA 15 work? 16 Α. It could be as wide of a margin as 80/20, or 80 percent on Project Veritas, 20 percent 17 on Project Veritas Action, or it could be something 18 19 to the effect of 65 percent on Project Veritas and 20 35 percent on Project Veritas Action over the course 21 of a year. 22 Is it fair to say the focus of both Q. 23 Project Veritas and PVA's work is undercover journalism? 24

		3	32
1	1 A. Correct.		
2	Q. How did PVA in parti	cular go about	
3	undercover journalism?		
4	A. We secretly record p	eople because	
5	sometimes that's when they are t	he most honest.	
6	Q. Do you commonly e	xcuse me, does PVA	
7	7 commonly openly record people?		
8	A. We sometimes openly	record people.	
9	Q. How often?		
10	A. I don't want to give	you a misleading	
11	estimation, so I don't know the	answer to that.	
12	Q. Would you say it's h	appened more than	
13	one time		
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q this calendar yea	r?	
16	A. This calendar year,	2018, probably not	
17	since we haven't entered election	n season yet.	
18	Q. Would you say it's h	appened more than	
19	one time going back to the begin	ning of 2016?	
20	A. The beginning of 201	6?	
21	Q. Yes.		
22	A. I believe it has, ye	s.	
23	Q. Has it happened; tha	t is, you openly	
24	record somebody as part of the P	VA's journalism	

33 1 work, more than five times since the beginning of 2016? 2 I would have to go back and check to see 3 A. if it happened more than five times. 4 You aren't concern whether it has? 5 Q. 6 Α. I'm not certain. I would have to go 7 back and look at the videos. Ο. Is it fair to say that the overwhelming 8 majority of the journalistic work that PVA does is 9 undercover reporting? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Why the focus on undercover reporting? We believe that major media has become 13 Α. systemically corrupt in so far that they have passed 14 15 along untrue information along to the masses that sources have given to them, information that is 16 demonstrably untrue and information that these media 17 18 corporations pass along to the masses, thus 19 misinforming the masses. 20 So, the only way to accurately report information and educate the people, we have to go 21 undercover to obtain the honest truths so we can 22 23 deliver them to the people. And you say undercover work is the only 24 0.

34 1 way to do that. 2 Α. Yes. What does, in PVA's work, the concept of 3 Q. access mean to you? 4 It means a lot of things, but one of the 5 A. 6 things it means is getting in with a subject of an 7 investigation so that that subject can trust you enough to be truthful. 8 How does a PVA journalist seek to gain 9 Q. access to somebody they're looking to record? 10 11 Α. There's no specific one way. There's a 12 variety of techniques that we use. What are some of those techniques? 13 Q. 14 Α. Sometimes it involves creating an alias 15 such as many of the muckraking reporters have done 16 throughout the last hundred years, assuming an identity in order to ingratiate yourself with the 17 18 subject so that they can trust you. 19 When you say creating an identity, what 0. 20 do you mean by that? Posing as a donor, posing as a pimp, 21 A. 22 just using a cover the same way law enforcement does 23 or the Chicago Sun Times used to do, or Nellie Bly used to do or Upton Sinclair used to do, just the 24

35 1 idea of posing as something you are not in order to capture candid conversations from the subject you 2 are talking to. 3 Is the effect of that to deceive the 4 Ο. 5 person that PVA is seeking to record? 6 Define deceive because we never deceive 7 our audience. And I suppose my question is, is the 8 Ο. effect of these techniques to gain access to deceive 9 the person who PVA is looking to record? 10 11 Α. That person, that particular person, 12 yes. Does Project Veritas when it releases a 13 Q. video for publication -- actually, just so we're 14 15 clear, to release a video for publication, what does that mean? 16 Typically, it means putting the video on 17 Α. our YouTube channel first. 18 19 So that it's publicly accessible? 0. 20 Α. Yes. And the public is desired and encouraged 21 Q. 22 to watch the video at that point; right? 23 A. Yes. So when Project Veritas releases a video 24 0.

36 1 in that fashion, does it release the raw footage underlying the published video? 2 A. Typically, no. 3 Under what circumstances has it done so; 4 Q. 5 Project Veritas, that is? 6 Α. Project Veritas --7 0. Yes. -- has released the raw video on 8 A. I don't remember every single occasion. 9 occasion. I know we have released raw videos in the past. 10 11 Q. What occasions, do you remember? 12 Α. A National Public Radio investigation, we released the raw video. 13 14 Any others? Q. 15 Yes, I just don't remember every single Α. 16 one. I believe we released one in New Hampshire with the voter fraud investigations we did in 2012. 17 18 Q. Has Project Veritas publicly released 19 the raw video underlying one of its published videos 20 on any occasion since 2014? I don't believe so, but I would have to 21 Α. 22 go back and check. 23 Q. For Project Veritas Action, does PVA commonly release the raw footage underlying the 24

	oumes of fivere fights, 2010
	37
1	video it published?
2	A. I don't believe so, but I would have to
3	go back and check.
4	Q. Has PVA at all going back to 2014 to
5	your knowledge?
6	A. No.
7	Q. So, we have a stack of previously marked
8	exhibits in front of you and to your left, and
9	they're in reverse order that we marked them. Can
10	you reach all the way down to the bottom and take
11	out Exhibits 1 and 2, please?
12	A. Yes, I have them.
13	Q. And Exhibit 1, have you seen that
14	document before?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you understand it to be a series of
17	topics on which my client sought deposition
18	testimony from PVA in this case?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Can I ask you to take a look at
21	Exhibit 2?
22	A. Yes, I have it.
23	Q. Have you seen that document before?
24	A. Yes.

67 1 think this was something that we facilitated, as in 2 my undercover reporter. It was an idea that she The answer could be easily verified 3 came up with. if I had a minute to look at the raw tape. 4 trying to recollect something I watched a year ago. 5 6 Is the raw tape something that you can 7 access from where you are right here right now? Yes, yes. Α. 8 MR. HASKELL: Why don't we call a 9 break. 10 11 (Whereupon, a recess was taken) 12 BY MR. HASKELL: So, Mr. O'Keefe, I think earlier you 13 testified about a video involving NPR; right? 14 15 Α. Yes. What was that one all about? 16 Q. That one was about the chief financial 17 Α. 18 officer, I believe it was, of NPR meeting with 19 someone he thought was an agent or member of the 20 Muslim Brotherhood organization, and we posed as a group called MEAC, Muslim for Education Action 21 22 Reform, or something like that. I can't recall 23 exactly what the name of group was. We met with the two NPR executives at a 24

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - mail@court-reporting.com

	68
1	posh Georgetown eatery called Cafe Milano, talked to
2	them about their political views, about their views
3	of Jewish Americans, Republicans, Tea Party people,
4	and they were caught on tape ingratiating themselves
5	to these agents affiliated with the Muslim
6	Brotherhood.
7	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to object at
8	this point that we're beyond the
9	designations in the 30(b)(6) for Project
10	Veritas Action. It is otherwise fine
11	for him to testify in his capacity.
12	A. That's about it. It goes on, but that's
13	the basic.
14	Q. You mentioned two organizations, MEAC is
15	that M-E-A-C?
16	A. I believe Muslim Education Action Center
17	was the alias utilized.
18	Q. And Muslim Brotherhood
19	A. Mm-hmm.
20	Q were both of those organizations that
21	were created as aliases for Project Veritas
22	journalists?
23	A. No, just the Muslim Education Action
24	Center. A website was created. The Muslim

69 1 Brotherhood was an existing organization that the undercover journalists said that they were 2 affiliated with them in so many ways. 3 And the undercover journalists also said 4 Q. 5 that they were affiliated with MEAC? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Ο. How did they procure this meeting with the staff at NPR? 8 They had e-mailed with someone in Ron 9 A. Schiller's office, no relation to Vivian Schiller, 10 CEO of NPR, and had a series of phone calls and 11 12 meetings and eventually scheduled a date in February of 2011. 13 14 And the PVA journalists, what did they Q. 15 say --16 A. This is actually Project Veritas, not Project Veritas Action. 17 18 Thank you. Thank you. I'm sorry, first 0. 19 of all, Ron Schiller is one of the folks from NPR --20 Correct. Α. -- who ultimately met with the Project 21 Q. 22 Veritas journalists? 23 A. Yes. And what did Project Veritas or its 24 0.

70 1 representatives say to Mr. Schiller's office that led to this meeting coming about? 2 Α. In the communications prior to the 3 meeting? 4 5 Q. Yes. 6 Α. Oh, this is another one where I would 7 have to go back and check the exact language used, 8 but speaking broadly it was an interest in making a 9 contribution to NPR. Going into the CD that we previously 10 Q. 11 marked as Exhibit 52, I'm going to put that into the 12 computer, and I'm going to take a look at it up on 13 the screen. 14 So, do you see here on the screen in the 15 conference room we are now in that DVD in folder titled Early Films, sub-folder titled Early Videos, 16 and I'm beginning to play a file whose title begins 17 18 17I NPR? Do you see that? 19 Yes. Α. 20 (Video played) BY MR. HASKELL: 21 22 I've paused the film at time stamp 40 Q. 23 I'm going to move it back one second to time stamp 24 39. Do you recognize what we're watching here?

	71
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What is it?
3	A. It's the YouTube I produced about NPR.
4	Q. When was this one made?
5	A. It was released in March of 2011.
6	Q. And this is the investigation video we
7	were speaking about a moment ago?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. It involves the MEAC and Muslim
10	Brotherhood and the folks posing as potential donors
11	to NPR?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Those folks were Project Veritas
14	journalists?
15	A. Yes.
16	(Marked Exhibit 65, Screen Shot)
17	BY MR. HASKELL:
18	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 65 as a screen
19	shot from this video?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. That corresponds to what we're looking
22	at on the screen in the conference room right now?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What is depicted in Exhibit 65?

	72
1	A. This is a screen shot of the YouTube
2	video that we produced.
	_
3	Q. This specific portion of the YouTube
4	video, what's the graphic we're looking at in
5	Exhibit 65?
6	A. This is the website, so-called alias or
7	cover that was utilized. This was the website that
8	we had created as the alias to reach out to the NPR
9	executives with.
10	Q. Who created this website?
11	A. My colleague.
12	Q. Working for Project Veritas?
13	A. Yes, I believe he was volunteering at
14	the time.
15	Q. Sure. And did you work with this
16	colleague to create this website?
17	A. I don't remember exactly what I
18	contributed. I think it was more of an oversight
19	role.
20	Q. Were you involved in the creation of the
21	website we see here in Exhibit 65?
22	A. Lightly, yes.
23	Q. Did you say lightly or likely?
24	A. Yes, lightly, a little bit. I didn't

77 1 Α. Yes. -- who just went by the name Amir Malik 2 Q. 3 for purposes of this investigation? A. Yes. 4 Once again, looking at the computer 5 Q. 6 screen and going into the jump drive that's been 7 marked as Exhibit 39, in the folder titled Jump 8 Drive 30(b)(6) Videos, the sub-folder RDP15, I'm 9 going to open an MP4 file whose title begins 15-P26 Out-of-State Voters. Can you see that okay? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. I'm going to play the first couple seconds of this video. 13 14 (Video played) 15 BY MR. HASKELL: 16 Q. I've paused that video file. recognize what we're watching here? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. What is it? 20 It's the video of the investigation we Α. did in the New Hampshire Primary in the presidential 21 election in 2016. 22 23 Q. In this instance when you say "we," who 24 do you mean?

78 1 A. Project Veritas Action. 2 Did you personally participate in Q. creating the films that were incorporated into 3 this --4 Yes. 5 A. 6 0. -- published video? 7 Α. Yes. I'm going to start playing this video at 8 0. time stamp 11:09, and I'm going to play all the way 9 through stamp 12:19. 10 11 (Video played) 12 BY MR. HASKELL: So, I've paused it a little ahead at 13 14 12:05. What did we just see in that segment? 15 Α. It was me walking into a voting precinct 16 in New Hampshire on primary day in the 2016 election inquiring about how long a nonresident needs to be 17 in the state in order to vote in the state and 18 19 inquiring about if hypothetically I could vote and 20 then just leave the next day. Fair to say the last couple things you 21 Q. 22 said in the conversation with the poll worker that 23 we just viewed were to the effect of you offering to 24 go out to the car and get a New York driver's

	8	37
1	MR. HASKELL: Certainly.	
2	(Whereupon, a recess was taken)	
3	BY MR. HASKELL:	
4	Q. So, Mr. O'Keefe, can I ask you to go	
5	into the stack of exhibits and pull out Exhibit 12?	
6	A. Okay.	
7	Q. Let me ask you to read through	
8	Exhibit 12 and pay particular attention to page	
9	number 13. It's the second-to-last page.	
10	A. I've read it.	
11	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 12?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. What is it?	
14	A. It's the complaint in this case.	
15	Q. And Exhibit 12 is a verified complaint	
16	that let me ask, page 13 of Exhibit 12, do you	
17	recognize the signature on that?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Whose signature is it?	
20	A. It's mine.	
21	Q. So, you signed this verified complaint?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And in your own words, what did your	
24	signature on the complaint mean?	

88 That I declare the information on the 1 Α. 2 page to be accurate. 3 And when you say "on the page," do you Q. mean the information contained in the verified 4 5 complaint? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Specifically looking at page 13, Ο. 8 paragraph three, it reads, I have personal knowledge 9 of PVA's activities including those set out in this verified complaint, and if called upon to testify, I 10 would competently testify as to the matters stated 11 12 herein. Is that true? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And it was true at the time you signed 15 it? 16 A. Yes. Paragraph 4 of page 13, reads, I verify 17 0. under penalty of perjury under the laws of the 18 19 United States of America that the factual statements 20 contained in this First Amended Verified Complaint concerning PVA's existing and proposed activities 21 22 are true and accurate, and that's what you were 23 signing for? 24 A. Yes.

89 1 Q. It's your testimony that the factual statements in Exhibit 13 concerning PVA's existing 2 3 and proposed activities indeed are true and correct? Α. Yes. 4 Can I ask you to look at page six of 5 Q. Exhibit 12? 6 7 Α. Yes. 0. In particular look at paragraph 24 which 8 reads, In September 2015 PVA exposed campaign 9 finance violations in New York using undercover 10 11 techniques --12 A. Yes. -- and then has a citation to a video 13 Q. 14 titled Hidden Cam Hillary's National Marketing 15 Director Illegal Accepting Foreign Contribution. Do 16 you see that? 17 Α. Yes. Is that one of those factual statements 18 Ο. 19 concerning PVA's existing and proposed activities 20 that you verified to be true and correct in this document? 21 22 MR. KLEIN: Objection, calls for a 23 legal conclusion. 24 BY MR. HASKELL:

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - mail@court-reporting.com

	90
1	Q. You can answer.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Going into the jump drive that we've
4	labeled as Exhibit 39 in the folder titled 30(b)(6)
5	Videos, sub-folder titled RDP15, there's an MP4
6	document whose title begins 15-P24 Hidden Cam
7	Hillary's National Marketing Director Illegally
8	Accepting Foreign Contribution. Do you see that
9	file on the screen in the conference room?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. I'm going to open that up.
12	(Video played)
13	BY MR. HASKELL:
14	Q. I've paused the film one minute in. Do
15	you recognize this?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Is the film we're watching the same one
18	that's described in paragraph 24 of Exhibit 12?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And do you have a memory of the
21	investigation underlying the film that we're
22	watching?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And just in your own words, what

	91
1	happened there?
2	A. One of our one of PVA's employees was
3	going to a Hillary event and happened to expose a
4	campaign violation from the Hillary Clinton
5	campaign.
6	Q. When you say campaign violation, what
7	you mean?
8	A. Accepting money, a foreign contribution.
9	Q. What does that violate?
10	MR. KLEIN: Objection, calling for
11	a legal conclusion. You may answer.
12	A. It violates part of the FEC's
13	regulations concerning taking money from foreign
14	countries.
15	Q. Did the incident that the PVA journalist
16	recorded that day at the Hillary Clinton campaign
17	event result in any complaints before the FEC?
18	A. I believe so, yes.
19	Q. I'm sorry, when I say "FEC," we mean
20	Federal Election Commission?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Who made that complaint?
23	A. Benjamin Barr.
24	Q. Who's Benjamin Barr?

	100
1	Q. Not while the question is pending,
2	Mr. O'Keefe.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. How do you know that woman?
5	A. Well, I have a policy at Project Veritas
6	not to identify the current names of our undercover
7	journalists since it would violate my code of
8	conduct internally to release the names of current
9	Project Veritas undercover journalists.
10	Q. You're aware, aren't you
11	MR. KLEIN: Can we go off the
12	record.
13	MR. HASKELL: Yes.
14	(Discussion held off the record)
15	BY MR. HASKELL:
16	Q. So, how do you know the woman who goes
17	by the name is Marissa Jorge?
18	A. She works with me at Project Veritas.
19	Q. Does she also do work for PVA?
20	A. Yes, she does, yes.
21	Q. Is Marissa Jorge the only name you know
22	her by? You can answer yes or no.
23	A. No, since she uses undercover aliases.
24	Q. Is Marissa Jorge her true name?

	101
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Was Ms. Jorge involved in an
3	investigation into the AFT Michigan?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What did Ms. Jorge do as part of that
6	investigation?
7	A. That investigation is not released yet.
8	So, I have an obligation to talk about my notebooks
9	that haven't been released yet apparently. Is
L0	that I guess I have to answer his question. He
11	wants me to answer a question that
12	MR. KLEIN: Can we go off the
13	record?
L 4	A concerns materials I haven't
15	released.
16	MR. HASKELL: Question is pending,
L 7	Steve.
18	MR. KLEIN: I understand that.
19	A. As a journalist I can't in good
20	conscience answer a question about what's in my
21	unedited reporter notebooks since The New York Times
22	and CBS in good conscience wouldn't answer that
23	question either.
24	MR. HASKELL: Do you want to take

		102
1	a moment, or should we continue?	
2	MR. KLEIN: Yes, let's go.	
3	(Counsel conferred with witness)	
4	BY MR. HASKELL:	
5	Q. So, I think we left off talking about	
6	the AFT Michigan investigation. My question,	
7	Mr. O'Keefe, is how did Ms. Jorge obtain access to	
8	AFT Michigan?	
9	A. Ms. Jorge obtained access by	
10	volunteering with the office.	
11	Q. Did she approach the office without	
12	prior introduction? Was it a cold call?	
13	A. I'm trying to remember exactly the	
14	approach itself. I believe it was an e-mail.	
15	Q. That she e-mailed somebody at the AFT	
16	Michigan?	
17	A. Correct.	
18	Q. In that e-mail did she describe her	
19	background or why working at AFT Michigan might be	a
20	good fit for her or for them?	
21	A. I believe she did, yes.	
22	Q. Do you know what she said?	
23	A. I don't have it in front of me, but I	
24	could find out.	

		-
		103
1	Q.	In general, did she represent herself as
2	a student?	
3	Α.	It was either a student or a recent
4	graduate.	
5	Q.	And was she at that time a student?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Was she a recent graduate?
8	А.	No.
9	Q.	Did she submit a resume to AFT Michigan
10	in order to	obtain this opportunity?
11	А.	I don't recall.
12	Q.	Did she submit any other credentials?
13	А.	I don't recall.
14	Q.	Did she give AFT Michigan a name?
15	А.	Yes.
16	Q.	And it wasn't her actual name?
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	Okay. Can we pull Exhibit 5 out of the
19	big stack, p	please. I think that's it right there.
20	А.	Okay.
21	Q.	Exhibit 5 is a document titled
22	Plaintiff's	Responses to Defendant's First Set of
23	Interrogator	ries. Have you ever seen that document
24	before?	

104 1 Α. I see so many documents. I may have glanced at it in an e-mail once. 2 Q. If we go to page seven of 3 Okav. Exhibit 5, I'd ask you to follow with me as I read 4 interrogatory 11 which asks PVA to please identify 5 6 each step you have taken in furtherance of making 7 any future recording in Massachusetts. PVA's response is that it has been aware 8 of the unequivocal ban in General Laws Chapter 272, 9 Section 99, since 2015. Because of this unequivocal 10 11 ban, its steps have been limited to monitoring 12 instances in Massachusetts, largely reports from other news outlets, that it would investigate with 13 14 secret recording but for the ban. Since March 2016 15 Plaintiff has engaged in an Constitutional challenge 16 in an effort to overturn the unequivocal ban on secret recording. 17 18 Have I read interrogatory number 11 and 19 response accurately? 20 Α. Yes. Follow with me as I read interrogatory 21 Q. 22 number 12 which asks PVA to please identify each 23 person with knowledge of any steps identified in

PVA's

response to the preceding interrogatory.

24

105 1 response identifies Russell Verney, Robert 2 Halderman, and yourself. Have I read that correctly? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And so looking at the list of steps PVA 5 Q. 6 has taken in furtherance of making future recordings 7 in Massachusetts that PVA laid out in response to interrogatory 11, is that response a complete 8 statement of the steps you're aware of that PVA has 9 taken in furtherance of making future recordings in 10 Massachusetts? 11 12 Α. That seems to be a complete and accurate 13 statement, yes. 14 Thank you. Still working with 0. 15 Exhibit 5, if you flip one page back to page six, 16 and I'd ask you to please read to yourself interrogatory number nine and PVA's response as well 17 18 as interrogatory number ten and PVA's response. 19 (Deponent viewing exhibit). Α. 20 Okay, I've read it. To your knowledge is the listing of 21 Q. 22 occurrences in which PVA desired to secretly record 23 a person in Massachusetts but refrained from doing so; that is, the list provided in the response to 24

106 1 interrogatory number nine, is that list in response to interrogatory nine complete? 2 Since this document was drafted in Α. 3 January, the only other issue I suppose I would add 4 Otherwise, yes, it's complete. 5 is education. 6 Can I ask you -- we'll be speaking more 7 about this in a moment. From the stack of exhibits to pull out Exhibit 26. 8 Twenty-six, okay. 9 Α. Sure. 10 Q. Take a moment to read Exhibit 26, 11 please. 12 A. (Deponent viewing exhibit). 13 Okay. 14 When you say education, does Exhibit 26 Q. 15 describe the education related investigation that 16 you had in mind? 17 Α. No. Let's talk about that now then. 18 0. Okay. 19 So, when you say an education related opportunity 20 that's cropped up in the past, what, three months, what is that opportunity? 21 Education, state education officials 22 Α. 23 covering up sexual abuse, a la what the Boston Globe reported on with the Catholic Church that won the 24

107 1 Pulitzer Prize. What is the nature of -- well, these 2 Q. education officials you're describing, who are they? 3 Α. A lot of them work with education 4 associations or federations of teachers. 5 6 charter describes that they want to protect 7 Oftentimes they do the exact opposite of that. 8 Does PVA have information about specific 9 Q. individuals in Massachusetts engaging in that kind 10 of conduct? 11 12 Α. I have access to information like that, 13 yes. 14 When you say "information like that," Q. 15 what information do you have access to? 16 Α. Sources, tipsters, locations, addresses, suspicions based upon things that sources tell me. 17 18 0. Have your sources and tipsters, 19 et cetera, identified specific people in 20 Massachusetts who PVA would be interested to record as part of such investigation? 21 22 Α. Not a specific individual, no. 23 Q. And have they identified specific places where PVA would be interested to make such 24

108 1 recordings? 2 A. Yes. 3 What places are those? Q. A. I don't have them in front of me, but 4 5 addresses of locations where these events might 6 occur. 7 Q. What events are you referring to? A. Activities of those sorts of cover-ups 8 that are taking place. 9 Where in Massachusetts do you have 10 Q. 11 information that such coverup activities may be 12 occurring? Well, Boston is one place. 13 A. 14 Where in Boston? Q. 15 A. I don't have the address in front of me. I could check. 16 What is the organization or person who 17 Q. 18 PVA would seek to secretly record in connection with 19 that allegation? 20 I would have to check. I would have to Α. check and get back with you. I don't have that in 21 front of me. 22 23 Q. Do you remember? I don't recall. 24 A.

	109
1	Q. So, if but you said there's no
2	specific person associated with that allegation of
3	coverup; right?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Is there a specific organization or
6	company or association or chapter or group
7	associated with that allegation?
8	A. One of them is the education
9	association.
10	Q. I'm sorry, which education association
11	is that?
12	A. Massachusetts Education Association.
13	Q. What is the Mass. Education Association?
14	A. It's a union that represents teachers in
15	the state.
16	Q. And you have information that that
17	organization is engaged in covering up some sort of
18	misdeeds against children?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What information do you have as to that
21	allegation?
22	A. Sources that I speak with.
23	Q. What have they told you?
24	A. They've talked to me about the behavior

110 1 of the officials in these organizations. 2 Q. What have they said? Α. How they behave and what they do. 3 Ι have reason to believe that these organizations are 4 5 engaged in wrongdoing that needs to be exposed. 6 And so what did your sources tell you 7 specifically that leads you to believe that this 8 organization, the Mass. Education Association, is 9 engaged in wrongdoing? The manner in which they conduct 10 Α. themselves inside of the offices. 11 12 Q. What about it? That it needs to be exposed; that it 13 Α. 14 needs to be filmed; that it needs to be uncovered 15 and distributed to the masses, to the audience I 16 mean. What is it that happens at the office of 17 0. the Mass. Education Association that you want to 18 19 record? 20 I'd have to speculate about exactly what Α. they would say. Do you want me to do that? 21 22 Speculate about what who would say? Q. The officials inside of the office. 23 Α. Well, let me try asking it a different 24 0.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

James O' Keefe - April 9, 2018

111

way. Why would PVA seek to record people at the office of the Mass. Education Association? Α. If they were doing something that was hurting the interests of children and violating their mission statement, if they are an organization that receives directly or indirectly public monies, they should be exposed and the people of Massachusetts should be educated about it in furtherance of the mission statement of our organization to expose and educate people what happens. Q. You're aware that there is some such wrongdoing at the Mass. Education Association? Α. I have reason to believe, yes.

- O. What is that reason?
- A. The sources that I speak with, the investigative work that I have done in other states at similar organizations, the information I have available to me through sources and tipsters.
- Q. I feel like we're going around in circles here, but let me ask it this way. Are you aware of any present opportunity that PVA has to record a particular person in a particular place relative to this potential investigation into

	112
1	education related issues?
2	A. Yes, I am aware I don't have a
3	particular name of a person, but I have particular
4	locations.
5	Q. Tell me those locations, please.
6	A. I don't have them memorized.
7	Q. What do you remember?
8	A. The locations of the offices in the
9	state of Massachusetts where this behavior might
10	occur.
11	Q. So you said one was the Mass. Education
12	Association.
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Are you aware of any others?
15	A. I would have to look at my notes. I
16	don't recall off the top of my head the others.
17	Q. Do you remember whether there were
18	others?
19	A. I believe there are others, yes.
20	Q. Do you have a memory that there were
21	other locations besides the Mass. Education
22	Association?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. Do you remember what those are?

113 1 A. I don't. The Mass. Education Association, where 2 Q. is its office? 3 Α. I don't know off the top of my head. 4 Has PVA developed an operational plan to 5 Q. 6 pursue this education related investigation that 7 you're describing? Α. Not in Massachusetts. 8 And you said this opportunity just came 9 Q. up within the past several months? 10 11 Α. Yes, we have -- we get sent thousands of 12 tips and sources and people that come to us that offer ideas, tips, about what to investigate. 13 14 Who provided this tip to PVA? Q. 15 Α. It's more than one person. It could be 16 a tip that comes through our tip line. It could be a person that speaks to me who is an expert on the 17 18 subject matter. In this case it was a little bit of 19 both. 20 So, is it your testimony that PVA has 0. received tips from its tip line about this education 21 22 related investigation in Massachusetts? 23 Α. I'd have to check to see if it came 24 through the PVA tip line, but I get tipped off

114 1 through Facebook. I get tipped off in my conversations at conferences with people, I give 2 speeches at. I get tipped off by sources who work 3 in the education reform movement. I get sent 4 information a lot of different ways. So, I don't 5 6 know if it was specifically through the PVA tip 7 line. Well, you testified a moment ago that 8 0. your source of information about this education 9 related potential investigation, I think you said it 10 came from a little bit of both relating to tipsters 11 and subject matter experts. 12 13 A. Yes. 14 How did the tipster information get to Q. 15 PVA? 16 Α. I don't recall exactly. It could have been in conference, for example, where I gave a 17 18 speech and I spoke to someone after the speech. 19 also have a source that we're working with in New 20 Jersey that we speak with. I would rather not give his name. We have a lot of informants around the 21 United States. 22 23 Q. Did that person in New Jersey provide

information about an opportunity to secretly record

24

115 1 a specific person in a specific place in Massachusetts? 2 Not a specific person, no, but we have 3 Α. discussed recording in various states. 4 You also mentioned the part of PVA's 5 6 information on this came from a subject matter 7 What do you mean when you testify subject matter expert? 8 Someone who knows about education reform 9 Α. and the issues inherent in that topic, areas to look 10 11 for, what we might expose. 12 Q. And has PVA received information from a 13 subject matter expert about an opportunity to record a particular person in a particular place in 14 15 Massachusetts? 16 Α. Not a particular person, no. And so is it your testimony that while 17 0. PVA has identified the Mass. Education Association 18 19 as an organization that it would be interested to 20 record, it hasn't identified any particular individuals it would like to record, it hasn't 21 22 identified a particular place it would like to 23 recording short of MEA's offices? That's correct. 24 Α.

		120
1	А.	No.
2	Q.	No, you're not aware or, no, it did not
3	result in su	ich a plan?
4	Α.	It did not result in any plan.
5	Q.	Have you ever visited the office of the
6	Mass. Educat	cion Association?
7	А.	No.
8	Q.	Do you know what controls, if any, are
9	in place at	that office to obtain access to it?
10	А.	I'd have to check. I don't know off the
11	top of my he	ead.
12	Q.	Do you know whether somebody entering
13	that office	needs to swipe a card?
14	А.	I don't, no.
15	Q.	Do you know whether somebody entering
16	that office	has to go through a locked door?
17	А.	I don't.
18	Q.	Do you know whether somebody entering
19	that office	has to pass by a reception desk?
20	А.	I don't.
21	Q.	Do you know whether some or all of that
22	office is or	pen to the public?
23	Α.	I don't off the top of my head, no.
24	Q.	Of all these potential investigations

121 1 we've just discussed as to interrogatory number nine -- by the way, as to all of these potential 2 investigations we've just discussed on Exhibit 9, 3 when we were talking about opportunities to conduct 4 recording in Massachusetts, those were all secret 5 6 recording opportunities; right? 7 Α. Yes. And as to all these investigations, 8 0. would you characterize any of them as election 9 related? 10 11 Α. That depends. 12 Q. What does it depend on? If the Antifa people are coordinating 13 A. 14 with and working with people running for office. 15 Do you have any present reason to Q. 16 believe that they are so coordinating? I have a tough time defining reason to 17 A. 18 believe, but I suspect in some cases they might be, 19 yes. 20 Does that suspicion relate specifically 0. to the Antifa activities and events occurring in 21 Massachusetts? 22 23 Α. No, because I'm not working in Massachusetts. 24

122 1 Q. So, you don't have any present reason to believe that the Antifa organizations in 2 3 Massachusetts are coordinating with any election campaign? 4 A. No. 5 6 Ο. Can I ask you to take Exhibit 28 out of 7 the stack we previously marked. Exhibit 28 is the document titled Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses 8 to Defendant's First Set of Requests for Admission. 9 Have you seen that document before? 10 11 Α. I may have. 12 Q. Let me turn your attention to page three 13 and ask you to please read to yourself request for 14 admission number 13 and PVA's response. 15 Α. (Deponent viewing exhibit). 16 Okay. Are you the James O'Keefe who on or 17 0. about May 26, 2010, pled guilty to and was convicted 18 19 of entry by false appearances on real property of 20 the United States in violation of the United States Code in the case of United States versus O'Keefe, 21 Criminal Action No. 10CR-81SRDDEK in the U.S. 22 23 District Court for the Eastern District of 24 Louisiana?

		ounies of ficere riprins, 2010
		123
1	Α.	Yes.
2		(Marked Exhibit 75, Complaint)
3	A.	I'm ready.
4	Q.	Do you recognize Exhibit 75?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And in particular, if you look at the
7	final page	of Exhibit 75, there are a series of
8	signatures.	
9	A.	Yep, yes.
10	Q.	Do you recognize your signature on that
11	page?	
12	A.	Yep, I wrote a whole book about it.
13	Q.	Of those signatures, yours is the one
14	that appear	s just above the typed "James O'Keefe,
15	Defendant"?	
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And you signed Exhibit 75 on March 24,
18	2010?	
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	In your own words, what do you
21	understand	it to mean when you signed Exhibit 75?
22	A.	Pleading guilty to a misdemeanor crime.
23	Q.	And looking at the final page of
24	Exhibit 75,	above the signatures there's a paragraph

124 1 that states, Both the government and the Defendants Joseph Basil, Stan Dye, Robert Flanagan, and James 2 O'Keefe do hereby stipulate and agree that the above 3 facts are true and that they set forth a sufficient 4 factual basis for the crime to which the Defendants 5 6 are pleading quilty. Do you see that? 7 Α. Yes. Was it your understanding in signing 8 0. this document that you were stipulating and agreeing 9 that the above facts are true and that they set 10 forth a sufficient basis for your quilty plea? 11 12 Α. Yes. (Marked Exhibit 76, Excerpts from 13 14 "American Pravda") BY MR. HASKELL: 15 16 Q. Did you have a chance to look at Exhibit 76? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Do you recognize it? 0. 20 Α. Yes. What is it? 21 Q. 22 It's a various excerpts of my second Α. 23 book, American Pravda. And specifically is it fair to say that 24 0.